Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/673,048	JONES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after Quayle action</u>		
(1) <u>Lisa M. Caputo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joel Meyer (Reg. No. 37,677)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2007	Time: <u>5:30 pm EST</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A		
Claims discussed: 1-35		
Prior art documents discussed:  N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		·
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. T of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte e record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
		V .
Light		
LISA CAPUTO PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	nt/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon discussion with the attorney in order to obtain authorization for an examiner's amendment to the abstract for a minor informality, examiner discerned an issue with claims 33-35. Upon further discussion, a restriction was set forth by the examiner, to which the attorney provisionally elected over the phone to prosecute the claims of Group I, claims 1-32, which will be allowed. Attorney also authorized an examiner's amendment to cancel the claims of Group II, claims 33-35..